

ANACONDA: An Improved Dynamic Regret Algorithm for Adaptive Non-Stationary Dueling Bandits

Thomas Kleine Buening¹ Aadirupa Saha²

¹University of Oslo ²TTIC / Apple ML Research

Non-Stationary Dueling Bandits

- Sequence of preference matrices $\mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_T \in [0, 1]^{K \times K}$ with $\mathbf{P}_t(a, b) = 1 - \mathbf{P}_t(b, a)$ and $\mathbf{P}_t(a, a) = 1/2$.
- The stochastic (i.e., stationary) dueling bandit problem is recovered in the special case where $\mathbf{P}_1 = \dots = \mathbf{P}_T$.
- Given a preference matrix \mathbf{P}_t , arm $a_t^* \in [K]$ is called the **Condorcet Winner** of \mathbf{P}_t if $\mathbf{P}_t(a_t^*, b) > 1/2$ for all $b \neq a_t^*$.
- Every round $t \in [T]$:
 - select a pair of actions $(a_t, b_t) \in [K] \times [K]$
 - observe preference feedback $o_t(a_t, b_t) \sim \text{Ber}(\mathbf{P}_t(a_t, b_t))$
- Preference-strength of arm a over arm b in round t :

$$\delta_t(a, b) := \mathbf{P}_t(a, b) - 1/2.$$

Regret Objective: Dynamic Regret

$$\text{DR}(T) := \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\delta_t(a_t^*, a_t) + \delta_t(a_t^*, b_t)}{2}.$$

Transitivity Assumptions:

Every \mathbf{P}_t satisfies for $a \succ_t b \succ_t c$:

- Strong Stochastic Transitivity (**SST**): $\delta_t(a, c) \geq \delta_t(a, b) \vee \delta_t(b, c)$.
- Stochastic Triangle Inequality (**STI**): $\delta_t(a, c) \leq \delta_t(a, b) + \delta_t(b, c)$.

Prior Work

Main limitations of prior work [1, 2]:

- pessimistic notions of non-stationarity.
- non-adaptive parameter tuning, i.e., require knowledge of the number of preference changes in advance.

Research Questions

- Q1. Can we guarantee low dynamic regret for **meaningful notions of non-stationarity**?
- Q2. Can we achieve near-optimal regret **adaptively**, without prior knowledge of the underlying non-stationarity?

Notions of Non-Stationarity

① **Preference Switches (weak)**

$$S^{\text{P}} := \sum_{t=2}^T \mathbf{1}\{\mathbf{P}_t \neq \mathbf{P}_{t-1}\}$$

② **Condorcet Winner Switches (strong)**

$$S^{\text{CW}} := \sum_{t=2}^T \mathbf{1}\{a_t^* \neq a_{t-1}^*\}$$

③ **Significant Condorcet Winner Switches (stronger)**

Let $\nu_0 := 1$ and define ν_{i+1} recursively as the first round in $[\nu_i, T]$ such that for all arms $a \in [K]$ there exist rounds $\nu_i \leq s_1 < s_2 < \nu_{i+1}$ such that $\sum_{t=s_1}^{s_2} \delta_t(a_t^*, a) \geq \sqrt{K(s_2 - s_1)}$. Let \tilde{S}^{CW} denote the number of such rounds $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{\tilde{S}^{\text{CW}}}$.

④ **Total Variation (weak)**

$$V := \sum_{t=2}^T \max_{a, b \in [K]} |\mathbf{P}_t(a, b) - \mathbf{P}_{t-1}(a, b)|$$

⑤ **Condorcet Winner Variation (strong)**

$$\tilde{V} := \sum_{t=2}^T \max_{a \in [K]} |\mathbf{P}_t(a_t^*, a) - \mathbf{P}_{t-1}(a_t^*, a)|$$

Observation: $\tilde{S}^{\text{CW}} \leq S^{\text{CW}} \leq S^{\text{P}}$ and $\tilde{V} \leq V$.

Overview of Results

Algorithm	DR(T)	Notion	Adaptive?	SST&STI?
ANACONDA	$\tilde{O}(K\sqrt{S^{\text{CW}}T})$	②	yes	no
ANACONDA	$\tilde{O}(K\sqrt{\tilde{S}^{\text{CW}}T})$	③	yes	yes
ANACONDA	$\tilde{O}(\tilde{V}^{1/3}(KT)^{2/3})$	⑤	yes	yes
[3]	$\tilde{O}(\sqrt{K\tilde{S}^{\text{CW}}T})$	③	yes	yes
[2]	$\tilde{O}(\sqrt{KS^{\text{P}}T})$	①	no	no
[2]	$\tilde{O}((KV)^{1/3}T^{2/3})$	④	no	no
[1]	$\tilde{O}(K\sqrt{S^{\text{P}}T})$	①	no	no

Lower Bounds: $\Omega(\sqrt{KS^{\text{CW}}T})$ and $\Omega((K\tilde{V})^{1/3}T^{2/3})$. Recently, [3] showed that SST and STI are necessary conditions in order to achieve $O(\sqrt{K\tilde{S}^{\text{CW}}T})$ regret. In fact, there exists a family of problem instances such that $\tilde{S}^{\text{CW}} = 0$, but no algorithm can achieve $o(T)$ regret.

Algorithm

Gap Estimates: Importance weighted estimates of $\delta_t(a, b)$:

$$\hat{\delta}_t(a, b) = |\mathcal{A}_t|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{a_t=a, b_t=b\}} o_t(a, b) - 1/2. \quad (1)$$

Elimination Rule: Eliminate an arm $a \in [K]$ in episode ℓ and round t if there exist rounds $t_\ell \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq t$ such that

$$\max_{a' \in [K]} \sum_{t=s_1}^{s_2} \hat{\delta}_t(a', a) > C \log(T) K \sqrt{(s_2 - s_1) \vee K^2}. \quad (2)$$

Algorithm 1 ANACONDA: Adaptive Non-stationary CONDorcet Dueling Algorithm

```

1: input: horizon  $T$ 
2:  $t \leftarrow 1$ 
3: while  $t \leq T$  do
4:    $t_\ell \leftarrow t$ 
5:    $\mathcal{A}_{\text{good}} \leftarrow [K]$ 
6:   for  $m \in \{2, \dots, 2^{\lceil \log(T) \rceil}\}$  and  $s \in \{t_\ell + 1, \dots, T\}$  do
7:     Sample  $B_{s,m} \sim \text{Bern}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m(s-t_\ell)}}\right)$ 
8:   Run CondaLet( $t_\ell, T + 1 - t_\ell$ )
```

Algorithm 2 CondaLet(t_0, m_0)

```

1: input: scheduled time  $t_0$ , duration  $m_0$ , replay schedule  $\{B_{s,m}\}_{s,m}$ 
2: initialize:  $t \leftarrow t_0$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_t \leftarrow [K]$ 
3: while  $t \leq T$  and  $t \leq t_0 + m_0$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{good}} \neq \emptyset$  do
4:   Play arm-pair  $(a_t, b_t) \in \mathcal{A}_t$  with each arm being selected with probability  $1/|\mathcal{A}_t|$ 
5:    $\mathcal{A}_{\text{good}} \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{good}} \setminus \{a \in [K] : \exists [s_1, s_2] \subseteq [t_\ell, t] \text{ s.t. (2) holds}\}$ 
6:    $\mathcal{A}_{\text{local}} \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_t$ 
7:    $t \leftarrow t + 1$ 
8:   if  $\exists m$  such that  $B_{t,m} = 1$  then
9:     Run CondaLet( $t, m$ ) with  $m = \max\{m \in \{2, \dots, 2^{\lceil \log(T) \rceil}\} : B_{t,m} = 1\}$ 
10:   $\mathcal{A}_t \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text{local}} \setminus \{a \in [K] : \exists [s_1, s_2] \subseteq [t_0, t] \text{ s.t. (2) holds}\}$ 
```

Challenges under Preference-Based Feedback

- We generally cannot decompose regret, as is done in non-stationary MAB, due to the lack of transitivity.
- It is more difficult to detect a bad arm, since an arm can beat every arm except the best arm (by a large margin).

Future Work

- Other solution concepts, e.g., Borda scores, Copeland winner, von Neumann winner

References

- [1] P. Kolpaczki, V. Bengs, and E. Hüllermeier. Non-stationary dueling bandits. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.00935*, 2022.
- [2] A. Saha and S. Gupta. Optimal and efficient dynamic regret algorithms for non-stationary dueling bandits. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 19027–19049. PMLR, 2022.
- [3] J. Suk and A. Agarwal. When can we track significant preference shifts in dueling bandits? *arXiv preprint:2302.06595*, 2023.